

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

March 9, 2015

**ERRATA**

---

Appeal No. 2014-1110

**IN RE PAPST LICENSING DIGITAL CAMERA  
PATENT LITIGATION**

---

**PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG,**

**v.**

**FUJIFILM CORPORATION, FUJIFILM NORTH  
AMERICA CORPORATION (formerly known as  
Fujifilm USA, Inc.), HEWLETT-PACKARD  
COMPANY, JVC COMPANY OF AMERICA, NIKON  
CORPORATION, NIKON, INC., OLYMPUS CORP.,  
OLYMPUS IMAGING AMERICA INC., PANASONIC  
CORPORATION (formerly known as Matsushita  
Electric Industrial Co., LTD.), PANASONIC  
CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA, SAMSUNG  
OPTO-ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG  
TECHWIN CO., VICTOR COMPANY OF JAPAN,  
LTD.,**

Decided: February 2, 2015  
Precedential Opinion

---

Please make the following change:

On page nine, first paragraph, replace the sentence

Second, it is undisputed that if we reject all five of the challenged constructions, the summary-judgment orders must be vacated.<sup>2</sup>

with the following sentence:

Second, it is appropriate, if we reject all five of the challenged constructions, to vacate the summary-judgment orders and remand.<sup>2</sup>

Footnote 2 remains unchanged.