NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORP.,

Appellant

v

SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US) HOLDINGS INC., SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC,

Appellees
2016-1287

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. IPR2014-00683.

JUDGMENT

DARRYL MICHAEL WOO, Vinson & Elkins LLP, San Francisco, CA, argued for appellant. Also represented by CHAO WANG; JANICE TA, Austin, TX.

DAVID J.F. GROSS, Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, Minneapolis, MN, argued for appellees. Also represented by Lucas J. Tomsich, Julie Wahlstrand; Calvin L. Litsey, East Palo Alto, CA; Richard M. Marsh, Jr., Denver, CO.

THIS CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

PER CURIAM (NEWMAN, TARANTO, and CHEN, $Circuit\ Judges$).

AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

March 20, 2017
Date

/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court