

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

**VROOM, INC., VROOM AUTOMOTIVE, LLC, dba
Vroom, dba Texas Direct Auto, CARSTORY, LLC,
VAST.COM, INC., dba CarStory,**
Plaintiffs-Appellees

v.

SIDEKICK TECHNOLOGY, LLC,
Defendant-Appellant

2023-1362, 2023-1667, 2023-2041

Appeals from the United States District Court for the
District of New Jersey in No. 2:21-cv-06737-WJM-JSA,
Senior Judge William J. Martini.

ON MOTION

Before STOLL, WALLACH, and CUNNINGHAM, *Circuit
Judges.*

WALLACH, *Circuit Judge.*

O R D E R

The parties filed a motion to stay these appeals while
they worked to effectuate settlement and asked the district

court for an indicative ruling that it would vacate its prior patent-ineligibility decisions if this court remanded. ECF No. 57. Now that the district court has so indicated, the parties jointly move to remand these appeals for it to do so. ECF No. 60.¹

We remand for the limited purpose of the district court's consideration of the parties' request for vacatur. *See Ohio Willow Wood Co. v. Thermo-Ply, Inc.*, 629 F.3d 1374, 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2011). In granting the motion, this court takes no position on the propriety or necessity of any vacatur, leaving it to the district court to consider the principles enunciated in *United States Bancorp Mortgage Co. v. Bonner Mall Partnership*, 513 U.S. 18, 29 (1994).

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) ECF No. 60 is granted to the extent that the appeals are remanded.
- (2) ECF No. 57 is denied as moot.
- (3) Each side shall bear its own costs.

FOR THE COURT



Jarrett B. Perlow
Clerk of Court

January 28, 2026
Date

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: January 28, 2026

¹ The motion to remand, ECF No. 60, supersedes a prior version of the motion docketed as ECF No. 58. No action will be taken on ECF No. 58.