NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

SYDNEXIS, INC.,
Appellant

v.

EYENOVIA, INC., Appellee

2023-2402, 2023-2403, 2023-2411

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in Nos. IPR2022-00384, IPR2022-00414, IPR2022-00415.

JUDGMENT

MICHAEL T. ROSATO, Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati, PC, Seattle, WA, argued for appellant. Also represented by JAD ALLEN MILLS; KELSEY CATINA, RICHARD TORCZON, Washington, DC.

THOMAS H. WINTNER, Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., Boston, MA, argued for appellee. Also represented by Peter Cuomo, Williams Dixon.

THIS CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

PER CURIAM (MOORE, $Chief\ Judge$, CHEN and CUNNINGHAM, $Circuit\ Judges$).

AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

May 12, 2025 Date Jarrett B. Perlow Clerk of Court