

NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**GAIL TURNER JACOBS,**  
*Petitioner*

v.

**MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD,**  
*Respondent*

---

2024-1738

---

Petition for review of the Merit Systems Protection Board in No. DC-0841-24-0216-I-1.

---

**ON MOTION**

---

Before PROST, TARANTO, and HUGHES, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

**O R D E R**

The Merit Systems Protection Board moves to dismiss this petition for lack of jurisdiction. Gail Turner Jacobs opposes the motion.

On March 18, 2024, Ms. Jacobs filed a timely petition with the Board seeking review of an administrative judge's

initial decision. The next month, this court received her petition to review the same initial decision.

This court does not yet have authority to decide this case. Although this court has jurisdiction to review final orders or final decisions of the Board, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(9); *see also Weed v. Soc. Sec. Admin.*, 571 F.3d 1359, 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2009), Ms. Jacobs’s pending timely petition at the Board renders the initial decision non-final for purposes of our jurisdiction. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 7701(e)(1)(A) (providing that the initial decision does not become final if a party timely petitions the Board for review); 5 C.F.R. § 1201.113(a) (“The initial decision will not become the Board’s final decision if within the time limit for filing . . . any party files a petition for review . . .”).

Two potential paths to this court’s review are available. First, Ms. Jacobs may wait to receive a final decision from the Board on her petition, at which point she may seek this court’s review by filing a petition here if necessary. Alternatively, Ms. Jacobs may file a motion at the Board to withdraw her petition pursuant to the June 2022 policy specified on the Board’s website.<sup>1</sup> Under that policy, the Clerk of the Board may grant requests to withdraw a petition for review when there is no apparent issue of untimeliness with the petition and no other party objects to the withdrawal. When the Clerk grants a request to withdraw, the order granting the request will be the final order of the Board for purposes of obtaining judicial review.

Accordingly,

---

<sup>1</sup> Merit Sys. Prot. Bd., Policy Regarding Clerk’s Authority to Grant Requests to Withdraw Petitions for Review (2022), [https://www.mspb.gov/appeals/files/Policy\\_Regarding-Withdrawal\\_of\\_a\\_Petition\\_for\\_Review\\_1515773.pdf](https://www.mspb.gov/appeals/files/Policy_Regarding-Withdrawal_of_a_Petition_for_Review_1515773.pdf) (last visited June 17, 2024).

JACOBS v. MSPB

3

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The motion to dismiss is granted. The petition for review is dismissed.

(2) Each side shall bear its own costs.

FOR THE COURT



Jarrett B. Perlow  
Clerk of Court

July 23, 2024  
Date

ISSUED AS A MANDATE: July 23, 2024