NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

In Re PETER AMORY, JR.,
Petitioner

2026-160

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado in No. 1:26-cv-02730-RTG.

ON PETITION AND MOTION

Before CHEN, LINN, and CUNNINGHAM, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

O R D E R

Peter Amory, Jr. petitions this court for a writ of mandamus to compel the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to correct his military records to reflect an honorable discharge and to restore all associated benefits and backpay. He also moves for various relief, including for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, to expedite, to take judicial notice, and for summary disposition.

A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, which may issue only if petitioner has shown a clear and indisputable right to relief; such relief is appropriate; and there

are no other adequate means to attain the relief desired—"a condition designed to ensure that the writ will not be used as a substitute for the regular appeals process." *Hargrove v. Shinseki*, 629 F.3d 1377, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2011) (quoting *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380–81 (2004)). We cannot say that Mr. Amory has shown mandamus would be appropriate or the only adequate, available means to obtain the relief that he requests.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The petition for mandamus is denied.

(2) The motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. All other pending motions are denied.

FOR THE COURT

Jarrett B. Perlow
Clerk of Court

October 30, 2026
Date